## THE:

## DESERTION DISCUSS'D.

## In a Letter to a Country Gentleman.

SIR

Don't wonder to find a Perfon of your Sense and lategrity so much surprised at the Report of the Throne's being of the Convention: For how (fay you) care the Seat of the Government by Empty, while the King, who all grant bad an unquestionable Title, is fill Living, and bis Abfence fired and involuntary? I shought our Laws, as well as our Retigion, bad been against the Deposing Dollrine ; shorefure I defire you would Expound this Seute Riddle to we, and give me the Ground of this lase exerciondinary Proplition. In answer to your Question, you may please to take notice, That those Gentlemen of the Convention, and the reft of their Sentiments, who declare a Vacancy in the Government, lay the main stress of their Opinion upon his Majefties withdrawing himfelf: For now, especially fince the Story of the French League, and the Bufness of the Prince of Wales, are passed over in Silenes, most Men believe that the pretended Breach of that which they call The Original Controll was defigned for no more than a popular Flourish.

And that you may be not beflocked by feeing the Votes of fo confiderable and publick a Meeting deliatert byta private hand, give me leave to remind you, That a Parliament and a Convention, are two very no there in the Legislative Power. If it's

gence of Affairs, is a sufficient Dispensation with the utual Preliminaries and Porms of Parliament. To this fam obliged to answer. That this pretended Necessity is either of their own Making or of their own Submicting to, which is the fame thing; and therefore ought not to be pleaded in Inftification of their Proceedings. For if his Majefty had either not been driven out of his Dominions, or invited back upon how norable Terms, they needed not to have had recourse to these fingular Methods. And fince they have neither the Authority of Law, or Necessity, to support their Determinations. I hope they will not think themselves disabliged, if they are inquired into; and fome part of that Liberty which they have taken with his Majefty be returned upon themselves. For all private and unauthorised Opinions are to be regarded no farther than they prove their point, Like Plate without the Royal Impression, they ought not to be obtraded for current Coins nor rated any higher than the intrinfick Value of the Mettal, Low us examin thereforeaf his Majetty has done any thing which imports, either in it felf, or by necessary confequence, That he has voluntarily Refigned his Crown, and Difcharged his Subjects of their Allegiance. Now the Author of The Enquiry into the prefest State of Afdifferent Things: The latter, for want of feiri, Ge. for whole Judgerent the Court the King's Write and Concurrence, having mone feem to have a very great Regard, as appears from their concurrence with him: treet. That the prefeat Postuse and Bris. For their most confiderable Votes are, in a

manner, transcribed from his 11th, Paragraph. This Author tells us, Pag. 5. That when a King wiebdraws bimself, and his Seals, wiebout naming any Persons to represent him, the Government is certainly laid down and forsaken by him. Though afterwards he is so good natured as to add, That if any imminent present Danger, or just Fear, (though indeed a King can never be decently suspected of that; I suppose his Reason is, because Kings are invulnerable) had driven his Majesty away, it might seem a little too hard to urge the too much.

In order to the confuting this Notion,

I shall prove in the

First place, That his Majesty, before his withdrawing, had sufficient Grounds to make him apprehensive of Danger, and therefore It cannot be called an Abdication.

Secondly, That the leaving any Representatives behind him was impracticable at

this Juncture.

Thirdly, That we have no Grounds, either from the Laws of the Realm, or those of Nature, to pronounce the Throne void,

upon fuch a Retreat of, a King.

But before I do this, its not improper to observe, That this pretence of a Demise, if it fignified any thing, cannot affect Scotland or Ireland: Not the first, For there his Majefty's Commissioners acted in the usual Manner, till they were diffurbed: Nor the fecond, For that Kingdom continues still under the Regular Administration of the Lord Lieutenant. Neither is it sufficient to fay, That Ireland is an Appendage to the Crown of England, and therefore it must follow its Revolution. For allowing a Demife was really confequent upon a Failure of Seals and Representatives; yet there would be no colour to apply it to a Case where there was no fuch Omiffion. For no Forfeiture ought to be firetched beyond the Reason upon which it is grounded. But this only by the way. I shall proceed to prove the first thing propounded, viz. That his Majesty, before his withdrawing, had sufficient Grounds to make him apprehensive of imminent Danger.

We are now fallen upon Times in which the most extravagant and almost impossible Things are fwallowed without Chewing, and the plainest Truths outfaced and denyed; as if Evidence was an Argument against Proof, and Absurdities the only Motives of Credibility: So that now, if ever, we feem fit for Transubstantiation. Had not fome Men believed this true, in a great Meafure, they would never have disputed against matter of Fact, which was done almost in the Face of the whole Kingdom. To fpeak to the present Case; Had not his Majesty great Reason to retire, to secure his Person and his Honor, at his first withdrawing from Woiteball? which is the time from which our Author dates his pretended Defertion (for he will not allow him to be King at his return) I fay, had not his Majefty great Reason to retire when he had met with so many unfortunate Disappointments, with fo many furprifing and unparallel'd Accidents? When part of the Army was revolted, and the Remainder too apparently unferviceable? When the People had fuch fatal and unremovable Prejudices against his Majesty's Service? When there were fuch terrible Diforders in the Kingdom, and all Places were. either Flaming or ready to take Fire? What should a Prince do, when he had scarce any thing left him to lose but himself, but confult his Safety, and give way to the irrefiftable Evil ? But our Author pretends the King's Affairs had a much better Afpect; Let us observe how he proves it. Why he tells us, That when the Prince of Orange's Proposals came to be Majesty, the Army and the Fleet were left in bu Hands. They were fo, that he might pay them for the Prince's Service; for they owned his Majefty's Authority scarce any other way than by receiving his Mony, and eating up his Meat. ( It's to be hoped they have fince repented of their Actions.) But the Enquirer goes on with his Inventory of Fores and Revenues, which the King was to have still. He may know, if he pleases, that we have but Four confiderable Forts in the Kingdom. Now Hull and Plimeuth had already disposed of theraselves, and the Tower of London was demanded

demanded for the City; fo that there was none but Porefmoush remaining. And as for the Repenues, it's to be feared, the Northern Collections would have been almost as Siender as those in the West. And now one would think our Father began to relent: For he owns, That fame Things, which the Prince of Orange proposed, may be called hard; viz. his demanding that the Laws against Papists which were in Imployment might be executed. But the Enquirer is much miftaken, if he thinks the Prince of Orange infifted upon no more than the bare Execution of the Law in this point. For the Disbanding of all Papifts ( which was part of his Proposals) is much more than what the Law requires; by which the Papifts are only excluded from Offices of Command, and Truft. But neither the Teft-Acts, non any others; bar the King from Lifting them as common Souldiers. And laftly, to deliver up his best Magazine, and the Strength of his Capital City; To be obliged to pay a Foreign Army, which came over to enable his Subjects to drive him out of his Dominions, were very extraordinary Demands, and looked as if there was a Defign to reduce him as low in is Honor, as in his Fortune. To forgive a Man who endeavoured to Ruin me, is great Christian Charity; but to Article away my Estate to him, because he has Injured me, is such a Mortification as no Religion obliges us to. This is in effect to Betray our Innocence, and Sign away the Inflice of our Cause a and lown that we have deferved all that hand llage which has been put upon us; fo that it's eafie to imagine what an unconquerable Averfion the Spirit of Princes must needs have to fuch an Unnatural Penance. In short, when the Forts and Revenue were thus difposed of; when the Papitts were to be Difbanded, and the Protestants could not be truffed; when the Nation was under fuch general, and violent Diffatisfactions; when the King, in case of a Rupture, ( which was not unlikely had nothing upon the Matter but his fingle Person to oppose against the Prince's Arms, and those of his own Subjects;

when his Mortal Enemies, and those were under the highest Forfeitures to his Majesty, were to sit Judges of his Crown and Dignity, if no farther; when Affairs were in this Tempettuous Condition, To fay that a Free and Indifferent Parliament might be Chosen, with relation to the King's Right, we well as the Peoples; and that His Majefty bad no just visible Cause to apprehend himfelf in Danger, is to out-face the Sun, and to trample upon the Understandings, and almost upon the Senses of

the whole Nation.

2. It's not improper to examine what doughty Reasons the Enquirer advances to prove, the Kings coming from Revertham to White-hall to be no return to his People. The reason of his affirming this is apparent; He is sensible what fingular usage his Majesty met with, and therefore he would fain unking Him, that it might the better fuit with his Character. But, pray, what had the King done to incur a Forfeiture by his First Retirement ? Had he quitted the Realm? If that was material, it cannot be alleadged, for his Majesty was no farther off than the Coast of Kent. Did he refuse to take Care of his People any longer when the Lords went down to Vifit him to White-ball? No: If he had, he would not have come back when he was at his Liberty. His return, after fome Affurances of fair Treatment, is a plain discovery of the Motives of his withdrawing; and that he came up with an intention to Govern. For, I believe, few People imagine that his Majefty wou'd take fuch a Journy, only to have Durch Guards clap'd upon him; to be hurried out of his Palace, and carried Prisoner down the Thames at Noon Day. But the Seals never appeared. What time was there for them in 24 Hours? Befides there was an Order of Council with his Majesty at the Head of it, for suppresfing the Mobile, Dated Decemb, 18. which was the next Day after his Majesty's return. And when he was fent back to Rochefter, he might plainly perceive his Government was at an End for the prefent. For the Tower was Garrison'd by Foreign Forces:

Forces : The Lords published an Order by their own Authority, to oblige the Papifts to depart the Town: The City made an Address to the Prince of Orange, which was a Virtual acknowledgment of his Power; and Affociations came up to that purpose out of the Country; (Cambridge-fhire Address + not to omit that his Majesty was denyed a small Sum of his own Gold to Heal with; As if they had rather poor People should Perish with Boyles and Ulcers, than thew common Justice and Humanity to their King. From all these remarkable Circumstances, his Majefty might eafily guess how they inrended to dispose of him: For no Man in his Senses, who has treated a Prince so Contemptnoudy in his own Kingdom, will ever permit him either Power, or Liberty, for fear he should remember his former Mage. From what has been faid, it's most evident; that his Majesty had all imaginable reason to provide for his own Security in fome other Country.

And fince his Majerty had sufficient reafons to withdraw, these can be no pretence
for an Abdication : For we are to observe,
that to Abdicate an Office, always suppofer the Consent of him whom Quits it.
That this is the fignification of the Word
Abdico, appears from Tulk, Saluft, and Livie; to which Pihall only add the Learned Grossu. De jure Belli, &C. Libr. I.
Gup. 4. Seft. 9. Where he makes Abdicating the Government, and plainly Giving
it of the Towns of the same importance.

And to prevent unrealenable Civils, he adds, that a Negleck; or Omifion in the Administration of Government, is by no means to be interpreted a Renunciation of it. We have but two intrances with us which looks like an Abdication fines the Conquest s which are in the Reign of Bdicard II. and Richard II. both which were unjustly Deposed by their Subjects. However they did not renounce their Akegianoe, and declare the Throne void, till they had a formal Refignation under the Hands of both those unfortunate Princess And hence

it appears how unlinely out Enquirer is at citing the Laws. For Pag. 12. He tells us, That fince these Two Princes have been judged in Parliament for their Male Administration, and since these Tudgments have never been vacated by any subsequent Parliaments; these Praceedings are part of our Law. From hence I otherve,

I. That our Author contradicts himfelf. For here he owns that Male Administration is fufficient to warrant Deposition, and Refistance. But in his Enquiry into the Measures of Submission &c. / For both thele Papers are generally supposed to come from the fame Hand) Pag. 5. Par. 14. He is much kinder to the Crown; for there he afferts, That it is not Lawful to refift the King upon any pretence of Ill Administration, and that nothing less than Subversing the Bundamentals of Government will justify an Opposition. Now I am much miffaken, if Depoling of Kings is not Refifting them with a Witness. But befides his felf Contradiction; the cafe is not to his purpose: For,

1. These Parliaments were called in Tumultuous times, when the Subjects were so hardy as to put their Rings under Confinement. Now if it is against the Confittation of Parliaments to Menace the Two Houses out of their Liberty of Voting freely, then certainly Kings ought not to be overawed by Armics, and Prisons. These Parliaments therefore are very improper to make Precedents of.

These Princes were wrought upon to far, as to religh their Crowns, which each of them did, though nawitingly; Let this Enquirer produce such a Refignation from His Majesty, and he says formething.

3. He is much miftaken in faying chefe juigments, as he calls them, bece not been vacated by fishfigures Parliaments. For all those lablequent Parliaments, which declare it Unlawful to take up Arms against the King, do by necessary implication condemn these Deposing Precedents; for his impossible for Subjects to Depose their Princes without Resisting them.

2. By Act of Parliament the First of Edw. 4. yet remaining at large upon the Parliament Rolls, and for the greater part recited varbasim in the Pleadings in Bagent's Case, in the Year Books (Trin. Term. 9. Edw. 4.) The Title of Edw. 4. by Defect, and Inheritance, and is tet forth very particularly; And that upon the Decease of Rich 2. the Crown by Law, Custom, and Conscience, Descended and Belonged to Edmind, Earl of March, under whom King Edw. 4. claimed.

It is likewife further declared. That Hen. 4: against Law. Confessiones, and Coffern of she Realm of Brighand. User ped upon the Crown and Landforp shartes, and Hen. 5: and Hen. 6: occupied the faid Realm by Universition, and no other terms of the confession, and the other terms of the confession, and the other terms of the confession and the other terms of the confession and the confession and the other terms of the confession and the confession

wife.

And in 39 Hen 6. Rev. Parl. when Riebard Plantagener, Duke of York, laid claim to the Crown, as belonging to him by right of Succession of was,

1. Objected in behalf of Hon. 6, that Hon. 6, took the Crown upon him as next Heir in Blood to Hon. 3! not as Conqueror.

To this it was Answered. That the pre-

tence of Right as next Heir to Hen. 3. Was salle, and only made use of as a Clock to hadow the violent Unitropicions of Hen. 4.

2. It was Objected against the Duble of York, That the Coown was by Act of Parliament Entailed upon Hen. 4. and the Heirs of his Body, from whom King Hen. 6. the Encally Descend. "The which AR I say they as it is in the Record I is of Authority to defeat my manner of Title. To which the Duke of York replied? They is the Crowns of England and France by Title of Inderisance, Descent, or Succession, be nested not provided in the would bave defined, or made show to be granted to brus in such mist, he wishes they be by the faid AR, the which inter most had in the first in first which with Gods Laps; and all Natural Ling. Which Claim and And wer of the Duke of Tork, is expressly acknowledged and recognized, by this Parliament, to be Good, True,

Just; Dawful and Sufficiente Cotton's Abridg-

From these Recognitions it plainly fol-

I. That the Succession cannot be interrupted by an Act of Parliament, especially when the Royal Affent is given by a King. De Falls, and not De Jure.

2. The Act 9. of Edw. 4. By declaring the Crown to Descend upon Edward, Earl of March, by the Decease of Rich 2. does evidently imply, That the said Richard was rightful King, during his Life, and consequently that his Deposition was Null, and Unlawin.

If it's demanded, Why his Majeffy did not leave Seals and Commissioners to supply his Absence ? This Question brings me to

the Second Point, viz. to fhew,

That the leaving fufficient Representa-tives was impracticable at this functure. For 1. When the Nation was to much embroiled, and the King's Interest reduced to fuch an unfortunate Bub; it would have been very difficult, if not impossible, to have found Perfons who would have undertaken fuch a dangerops Charge. That Man must have had a Reformion of an extraordinacy size who would renture upon Representing a Prince who had been to much difrespected in his own Perion; whole Authority had been fet afide, and his Ambaffador clapt up at Windler, when he carried not only an inoffentive, but an obliging Letter. But granting their a Representation had been ingaged in a the Commissions must either have extended to the Calling of Parliaments. not; if not, they would neither have been Satisfactory, nor absolutely necessiry. Not Satisfactory, For the want of a Parlia-ment was that which was accounted the great Grievance of the Nation, as appears from the Prince of Orange's Declaration. Where he lays express, That his Expedicion s insended for no other Defign bus to bave a Free and Lawful Partiament affembled, as

food as is possible. Declar P. 12.

Secondly, This Expedient was not absolutely Necessary; for the Administration of Justice might have proceeded Regularly,

without

without any such Deputation, by Virtue of those Commissions which the Judges and Judices of the Peace had already from the

King. This I shall prove.

1. From a parallel instance; King Charles the I. took, a Journy into Scotland in 41, during the Session of Parliament at Westerminster; where, though he appointed five Lords to fign Bills in his Name, (The Continuation of Bak. Chron.) yet the Judges and Justices acted by Nietue of their former Countissions, without any new Authority from any Representatives of his Majesty. Now Scotland is as much a diffinct Kingdom from England, as France; and France as much his Majesty. Dominions as Seesland: And therefore if Commissions will hold in the King's Absence in one Place, why not in the other?

Secondly, The present Judges met in January last at Wishminster, to dispatch, some Business in order to keep the Term but were forbidden to proceed by the Prince of Orange's Secretary: So that it is plain, it was the Opinion of these Reverend Judges that their Commissions from his Majesty were still in Force. But in the next place.

If his Majeffy had deputed any Persons to Represent him in Parliament, this Method would have been attended with new and in-

Superable Difficulties. For,

1. If they had been Limited they would not have given Satisfaction: For it being impossible to foresee the Bufiness and Votes of a Parliament at a diffance; If they had been reftrained to certain Points, in all probability they would have wanted Power to have passed all the Bills, and so their Deputation would not have Answered the Defire of the Houses, and the greatest part of their Grievances might have been counted unredreffed. If it's faid that the Parliament might have requefted an Enlargement of their Commission from his Majesty. To this I Answer, That the Convention may fend to his Majetty for an Expedient now if they please. And, I hope, they will; for I hear his Majesty has been fo gracious as to fend to them, But,

2. If these Commissioners were unlimit

2.10mil.W

ted, it would be in their Power to do a great many things prejudicial to the Crown & In fuch a Cafe they might alter the Monarchy into a Commonwealth, or Sign the Depoling of his Majefty, if fuch Bills should happen to be offered. And though there may be many Persons of Honor, and Conscience enough, to lodge fuch a Truit with Mot in regard his Majefty has been lately miftaken in fome, of whose Fidelity he had so great an Assurance, he has small encouragement to be over-confiding for the Future. Indeed no Wife Prince will Truft to vaft a Concern, as a Kingdom, with the Honefty ofanother, efpecially when many of his Subjects are Difaffected, and in a Ferment. So that nothing can be more unreasonable than to expect such Plenipotentiary and Absolute Commissioners.

3. I shall prove in the last place, That we have no Grounds, either from the Laws of the Realm, or from those of Nature, to pronounce the Throne wold, upon such a Retreat of a Prince as we have before us.

I. To begin with the Laws of the Realm, which are either Acts of Parliament, or those we call Common Laws. Now there is no Statute, to much as pretended, to support this Deferting Doctring and if there was, it's certain no such can be produced. Indeed a Prince must be xery weary of Governing, and void of the common Inclinations of Mankind; who would Sign a Bill of this Nature, and give his Subjects such a dangerous Advantage against Himself, and his Posterity.

Neither has this Opinion any setter Countenance from Common Law: For Common Law is nothing but Antient Ulfage, and Immemorial Culton. Now Cuftom Supposes Precedents and Parallel Cafes: But it's granted of all Hands, That the Crown of England was never judged to be Demised, by the withdrawing of the Prince before now. And, therefore it follows, by undentable Confequence, that this Opinion can have no Foundation in the Common Law, because there is not so much as one Ruled Case to prove it by. Nay, our Laws are not only filent in the maintenace

make good by Two Precedents.

I. From the Cafe of Edward the Fourth, who having not functient Force to Encounter the Earl of Warick, who had raised an Army for King Henry, was obliged to fly the Kingdom; but that he deputed any Persons to Represent him, our Histories don't give us the leaft Intimation : Neither was it Objected at his return, that he had Abdicated the Government, by omitting to Constitute a Regent. Neither is it material to Object, that all Disputes of this Nature were over-ruled by his Victorious Army; For if it had been the known Law of this Realm, that a Prince had ipfo facto. forfeited his Crown by going beyond Sea, without leaving a Deputation, though his Departure should happen to be Involuntary: If this, I fay, had been the Law of the Kingdom, it would not only have been a great Advantage to Henry the Sixth, and made the Nation ring of it, ( of which there is aleum Silentium; ) but we may be well affured, King Edward would not have confer'd Honor, worn the Crown, and taken the State and Authority of a King upon him, till he had been reeftablished by Parliaments. But that he did Exercise all Acts of Sovereignty before the calling of a Parliament, appears from Daniell, Stew, and Baker. And when the Parliament was Convened, those who had taken up Arms against him were found Guilty of Treason, and his Adherents were reftored to Blood and Estate (Daniell.) But there was no Confirmation, or Refifting of his Title, which is a Demonstration there was no need of it; and that this Abdicating Doctrin was perfectly unknown to that Age.

2. To come nearer our own Times, what Seals, or Commissioners did Charles the Second leave behind him after Worcefter Fight? And yet, I believe, no Mortal ever urged this as an Argument against his Reftauration. If it be Answered, that there was much more Danger in this case, than in that before us. To this I reply, that if we Examine the Matter more narrowly, we shall find the disparity very inconfi-

of this Paradox, but against it, as I shall derable. For was there not a numerous Army of Foreigners and Subjects in the Field, against his prefent Majesty at his retiring? What Power or Authority, or fo much as-Liberty was there left him? And I am afraid that at that time he had fewer Friends to stand by him, than his Brother after that unfortunate Battle in 51.

> And fince this pretended Dereliction has no manner of Protection from the Conftitution, it has no other Refuge but the Laws of Nature to fly to; but a very little Storming will ferve to drive it from this

lat Betrenchment.

For the Law of Nature is nothing but the Reafon of the Thing. Now impartial Reason has always a regard to the Circumftances of Action, and makes Allowances for Surprile, for Straitness of Time, for Refentment upon extraordinary Provocation; and never takes Advantage of an Omission. which may be fairly Interpreted, from any, or all, of these Causes. I mention this, not that the present Case needs any such Allowance, but to show that the Law of Nature would Admit it, if Occasion required. 'Tis true, written Laws, either through the ambiguity of the Words, or the defectiveness of the Sense, are often abused by ill Men, and wrested contrary to the Defign of the Legislators : But the Law of Nature is not tyed up to the Alphabet, nor bound to determine by the Imperfections of former Ages. Therefore this Principle will give the Enquirer nojust Advantages against his Majesty ; for Equity has no Quirks in it, nor ever lyes. at Catch. Reason is always just and get nerous, it never makes Misfortune an Accufation, nor judges in favour of Violence. Indeed, what can be more Unrighteous. ( though the Case was private and inferior) than that any one fould Suffer for being Injured, and be barred his Right for the Faults of others? If a Man should forfeit his House to those who set it on Fire, only because he quitted it without giving forme formal Directions to the Servants; and be obliged to lofe his Estate, for endeavouring to preferve his Life. I

believe it would be thought in incompre-henfole fort of Julice. If to proceed in this manner be not to establish Wicked-nes by a Law, I have done. If Princes may be thus roughly treated, their Birth is a Misfortune to them; and, we may fay, they are Crown'd rather for Sacrifice than Empire. At this rate, the People must e'en Govern thernfelves, for the Throne will be a Place of too much Danger to fit on any lenger. We have an Excellent Church, and we do well to take due Care to continue its Enablifiment ; but to difpoffels our Prince, upon this Score, has as little Divinity as Law in It. To endesyour to preferve our Religion by fuch Methods will make it more Fatal to us in the event than Atheim it felt Tis a miltake to think the World was made for none but Protestants; and if Dominion was founded in Grace, I am afraid our there would not be great in the Di-

Wie is Objected, That his Majefty's not fending to his People, upon his Removal is an Argument that he intended to govern them no longer. To this I Aufwer,

s delies a

no Man, who makes this Objection believes the truth of it; and therefore I might fafely leave it to his own Confcience to confute him.

Secondly, His Majeffy was fearcely Landed in France before the Administration. was conferred upon the Prince of Orange's which Action might very well discourage his Majesty from fending any Messages so foon as he intended: But fince it's known. his Majetty has fent Letters ( if not to the Privy Council, ar fome affirm, yet ) to the Convention.

Thirdly, Those who were the Occasion of his Majetty's Departure fhould Cone would think) have waited on him, and invited him back. For without Queffion the moring Person ought to make the first frep towards an Accommodation especially when Wrong is done to his own Prince. Now whether his Majefty has been well used in this Revolution, or not, I leave the World to Judge now. but God will do it afterwards.

Thus (SIR) I have ventured to give you my Thoughts upon this Subject: 1. That P am pretty well affired, That and am Affectionately yours.

## the American Commence of the Comment and the specific of the American section of the Comment of FINIS.

La ben or fielftong of the land, which Equity has no Cultes bill, for ever los to a Deside Coulou come was not need at Cooke the forming a per get at the and that this Absorting D. Lin nerves, it never makes to colore an hear was not be unknown to that Ape. - entation and judge in favour or Violence. 2. In a rough the cashe come that sale as was distinct Committees the China ( thought the Cots was entired as half done a . I'm be A.f. and ante feit his Parte to thek who let it on there may nettlik more Dunger in this care. They be is became he effect it wishout - 2 Cor of the first burner should have getter off and and administration the shallor happing ad Ites getter . worten anna possible of 1 . A Third explorer or include the endoweding to review his I de I were